

Why is the bandwidth of sodium observed to in photoemission experiments

H. Yasuhara, S. Yoshinaga

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Sciences, Tohoku University,
Japan*

(Received

Abst

The experimentally predicted narrowing in the bandwidth of sodium is due to the non-local self-energy effect on quasi-particle energies of the electron. The energy correction is a monotonically increasing function of the wave vector. The analysis of photo-emission experiments assumes the final state energy of the electron-

like model and hence it incorrectly ascribes the non-local self-energy correction to the occupied state energies, thus leading to a seeming

PACS numbers: 71.10Ca, 71.20.Dg

Sodium is the most suitable metal for testing the validity of electron

liquid at metallic densities since it is almost free from band structure. Angle-resolved photo-emission experiments by Plummer and his co-workers have shown the possibility that the occupied energy-band of sodium might be remarkably different from the comparison

with the usual value of nearly-free-electron theory. A number of attempts have been made to interpret the band narrowing of sodium in terms of the band structure of the occupied band using the GW approximation or its modifications which include many-body corrections

after the manner of Hubbard. The definite answer to this problem has not been given in spite of

all these efforts. In this letter we give a clear explanation of why the band is observed to be narrower in photo-emission experiments, based on the self-energy

operator for the electron liquid. We think that the photo-emission experiments on sodium, if

correctly interpreted, may support our assertion that the bandwidth is somewhat broadened under the influence of electron-electron interactions.

Energy and momentum conservation in photo-emission experiments

$$p_f = p_i + \hbar k, \quad \hbar\omega = \epsilon_f - \epsilon_i$$

where $\hbar\omega$ is the incoming photon energy; ϵ_i and ϵ_f are the initial and final state energies respectively; the final state momentum p_f can differ from the initial momentum p_i by a reciprocal

lattice vector G of solids. The occupied energy-band of sodium has been determined on the basic assumption that the final state energies may be described by a

electron-like model, i. e., $\epsilon_f = \hbar^2(p+G)^2/2m$ or its simple modification. In this paper we thoroughly consider sodium as a

liquid perturbed by a very weak pseudo-potential rather than a non-interacting liquid.

2

case the initial and the final state energies are defined as the quasi-particle energies in a uniform electron liquid and hence the energy conservation relation is given

$$\hbar\omega = E(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{G}) - E(\mathbf{p})$$

where $E(\mathbf{p})$ denotes the quasi-particle energy of the electron liquid.

energy $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = \hbar^2 \mathbf{p}^2 / 2m$ by the wavenumber-dependent self-energy correction.

We shall begin with a detailed discussion on the wavenumber-dependent self-energy correction. The self-energy operator can be rigorously expressed as

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p}, \epsilon [\mathbf{G}]) = \sum_{\sigma'} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} d\epsilon' \sum_n \frac{1}{2n-1} I^{\sigma\sigma'(n)}(\mathbf{p}\epsilon, \mathbf{p}'\epsilon' [\mathbf{G}]) G_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}', \epsilon') \quad (3)$$

where $I^{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}\epsilon, \mathbf{p}'\epsilon' [\mathbf{G}])$ denotes the contribution to the so-called particle-hole interaction from all the possible n -th order skeleton diagrams. Its

definition $I^{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}\epsilon, \mathbf{p}'\epsilon' [\mathbf{G}]) / \delta G_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}', \epsilon')$ is the particle-hole irreducible interaction $I^{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}\epsilon, \mathbf{p}'\epsilon')$ above is superior to the standard expression composed from G , W and Σ in a highly

symmetric form as a functional of G and hence suitable for including higher order corrections up to higher orders far beyond the GW approximation. It is difficult to treat $\Sigma(\mathbf{p}, \epsilon [\mathbf{G}])$ as a functional of the renormalized G because we

cannot approximately consider the self-energy operator as a functional of the non-interacting function G^0 and instead take the first iterative solution of Dyson's equation $G = G^0 / (1 - \Sigma G^0)$ in

place of the self-consistent solution $E(\mathbf{p})$ [8]. The summation over

expression for $\Sigma_{\sigma}(p, \epsilon [G^0])$ by the following coupling-constant-integ

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(p, \epsilon [G^0]) = \frac{(e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \int d\epsilon^2 (e^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\sigma'} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} d\epsilon' I^{\sigma\sigma'}(p\epsilon, p'\epsilon' [G^0]) G_{\sigma'}(p', \epsilon') \quad (4)$$

$$I^{\sigma\sigma'}(p\epsilon, p'\epsilon' [G^0]) = \sum_n I^{\sigma\sigma'(n)}(p\epsilon, p'\epsilon' [G^0])$$

The replacement of G with G^0 in the functional probably will be tolerable in the description

of the coupling between plasmons and multi-pair excitations. Such a description is the

accurate evaluation of the self-energy function and around where q_c is the cut-off

off wavenumber. For the quantitative evaluation of many-body effects at

metallic densities it is crucial that the form of $I^{\sigma\sigma'}(p\epsilon, p'\epsilon' [G^0])$

is sufficiently accurate as a functional of G^0 . From the diagrammatic analysis that

the irreducible interaction $I^{\sigma\sigma'}(p\epsilon, p'\epsilon' [G])$ satisfies an integral equation diagrammatically

represented in Fig. 5 in Ref. 6. Then, the irreducible interaction must satisfy

the integral equation in order that the resulting self-energy function is correct at densities.

Furthermore, the coupling constant integration which is responsible for the plasmon entering the original equation is indispensable for the qualitative description of the

self-energy correction at metallic densities. This implies that the self-energy must be counted

correctly. For the spin-parallel part of the irreducible interaction, the integral equation

for the integral equation, which is given by eq.(3.13) in Ref. 6, with

the

spin-antiparallel part of the irreducible interaction we deal with : constant for the moment because it is minor compared with the correct part. The approximate solution for the irreducible interaction I^0 substantially reducing the screening strength in the GW self-energy

4

calculated self-energy correction in the present theory, i.e., $\text{Re } \Sigma$ above

is plotted as a function of p for $r_s=4.0$ approximately corresponding comparison the self-energy correction in the GW approximation is also in order to sketch out the wavenumber dependent features of the self-energy here separate the wavenumber variable into two regions, namely, the region $0 < p < p_F$ and the off Fermi sphere region where $p > p_F$ is not very far

from p_F . In the Slater-approximation-like region $0 < p < p_F$ $\text{Re } \Sigma(p, \epsilon_p)$ [C the

present theory is rather gradual but positive in contrast with that the

off Fermi sphere region, on the other hand, it approaches much more a

function of p . The difference between the two calculations is enlarged in the off Fermi sphere region. In each curve there appears a dip which should disappear in

renormalized self-energy functional because plasmons with finite wavenumbers due to a coupling with multi-pair excitations. The systematic corrections

up to higher orders is thus indispensable for the qualitative and quantitative

energy correction not only in the Slater-approximation-like region $k < k_F$ but also in the off Fermi sphere

region.

In Fig. 2 the energy-band of the electron liquid under the external magnetic field is shown for the direction [110]. For comparison the energy-band of the free electron is also shown. The two curves are adjusted so as to agree at the Fermi level. The energy-band of the present theory is somewhat broadened in width and the unoccupied band is somewhat shifted to the high energy side in comparison with the free electron band.

In the analysis of photo-emission experiments the final state energy is assumed to be the energy of the free electron.

5

conventionally assumed on the nearly-free-electron-like model, leaving out the correction to

the final states out of account. In other words, the usual assumption is that the final state energy is

mistaken $\epsilon_p + \text{Re}\Sigma(p, \epsilon_p [G^0]) - \text{Re}\Sigma(p + G_{110}, \epsilon_{p+G_{110}} [G^0])$ for $E(p)$ ($= \epsilon_p + \text{Re}\Sigma(p, \epsilon_p [G^0])$) is

apparent from the figure, the difference $\text{Re}\Sigma(p, \epsilon_p [G^0]) - \text{Re}\Sigma(p + G_{110}, \epsilon_{p+G_{110}} [G^0])$

is much larger than the difference $\text{Re}\Sigma(p_F, \epsilon_{p_F} [G^0]) - \text{Re}\Sigma(0, 0 [G^0])$. From these results we

conclude that the narrowing in the occupied bandwidth of sodium is a result of the assumption of the final state energies. Note that the occupied band is somewhat broader if as the final state energies one adopts the quasi-free electron energies in

place of the free electron energies $\epsilon_{p+G_{110}}$.

In order to make a direct comparison with experiment possible we consider the virtual

energy-band with the dispersion $E^{\text{vir}}(p) = \epsilon_p + \text{Re}\Sigma(p, \epsilon_p [G^0]) - \text{Re}\Sigma(p + G_{110}, \epsilon_{p+G_{110}} [G^0])$ which is

the theoretical analogue of the experimental energy-band obtained from

An

excellent agreement between the experimental band [2] and the calculated

from Fig.3. Not only the magnitude of the narrowing in the bandwidth features

of the experimental energy-band are almost completely interpreted in energy effect on the quasi-particle energies of the electron liquid

around $p=0.2p_F$ in the virtual energy-band curve is ascribed to the factor $\text{Re}\Sigma(p, \epsilon_p$

$[G^0]) - \text{Re}\Sigma(p+G_{110}, \epsilon_{p+G_{110}}[G^0])$ is an almost linearly decreasing function of wavenumbers.

According to the present theory, the slope of the self-energy curve gradually steepened with increasing r_s in the off Fermi sphere region

6

over the entire range of metallic densities in the Slater-approximation the

calculated virtual bandwidth corresponding to the experimental band density level of sodium, is much less narrowed for $r_s=2.0$ and on the narrowed

for $r_s=5.0$. This is consistent with the experimental results of alkali metals potassium ($r_s=4.87$) [10], though the quasi-particle energies of these are lowered by

the crystal potential than those of sodium.

In conclusion, for the quantitative determination of the occupied states in metals

the usual assumption that the final state energies may be identified with the initial state energies like

the free electron model requires reconsideration from a many-body theoretic point of view of

course applies to transition metals like nickel. In order to interpret experiments of metals one must then rely on the Dyson's equation which includes a non-local self-energy effect on quasi-particle states since the Kohn-Sham construction cannot describe such a non-local effect on both the initial and final states. It is highly desirable that the final state energies be absolutely identified by photoelectron spectroscopy[11].

One of the authors (H.Y.) would like to thank Professors S. Saito and many other colleagues for their helpful and stimulating discussions. He is also thankful to Dr K. Saito for his kind conversations.

Reference

- [1] E. Jensen and E. W. Plummer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55, 1912 (1985)
- [2] In-Whan Lyo and E. W. Plummer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 1558 (1988)
- [3] Kenneth W. -K. Shung and G. D. Mahan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 57, 1071 (1986);
-K. Shung, Bo E. Sernelius and G. D. Mahan, *Phys. Rev. B* 36, 4499 (1987);
K. Shung and G. D. Mahan, *Phys. Rev. B* 38, 3856 (1988); G. D. Mahan and
Bo E. Sernelius, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62, 2718 (1989).
- [4] X. Zhu and A. W. Overhauser, *Phys. Rev. B* 33, 925 (1986).
- [5] J. E. Northrup, M. S. Hybertsen and S. G. Louie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61, 1033 (1988);
Phys. Rev. B 39, 8198 (1989); M. P. Surh, J. E. Northrup and S. G. Louie

5976 (1988-II).

[6] H. Yasuhara and Y. Takada, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7200 (1991).

[7] H. Yasuhara and Y. Ousaka, Solid State Commun. 64, 673 (1987);
6, 3089 (1992).

[8] D. F. Dubois, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 7, 174 (1959); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)

[9] H. J. Levinson, F. Greuter and E. W. Plummer, Phys. Rev. B 27,

[10] B. S. Itchkawitz, In-Whan Lyo and E. W. Plummer, Phys. Rev. B

[11] V. N. Strocov, H. I. Starnberg, P. O. Nilsson, H. E. Brauer &
Rev. Lett. 79, 467 (1997).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1.

The self-energy correction in the present theory is plotted as a function of energy. For comparison the correction in the GW approximation is also plotted.

Fig. 2.

The energy-band of the electron liquid under the empty lattice potential [110]; bold line: present theory, thin line: free electron theory.

Fig. 3.

A comparison between the experimental energy-band and the calculated energy-band. Bold line: virtual energy-band in the present theory, dotted line: free electron theory.

triangles: experimental results in Ref. 2.